

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/970,074	JENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non final rejection

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Koenck.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2005

Time: 1:30PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 7, 8, 12, 14, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,121,677


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest language to overcome the above prior art and place the instant application in condition for allowance. After discussing the details of the application with the attorney the examiner took a closer look at the reference and the above prior still read on the claims with the suggestion by the attorney and examiner. Therefore, a non final rejection is forthcoming..